WCBP 2025 Workshop Session 3

Bridging the Gap: Harmonizing Annex I and FDA Guidance While Understanding the Differences

Workshop Co-chairs

- Sabina Sheikh, Bristol-Myers Squibb
- Debra Barngrover, Biologics Consulting
- Anabela Marcal, European Medicines Agency

- How do the new requirements in Annex 1 impact the manufacture of sterile medicinal products at your company?
- What are the main areas of harmonization between the revised Annex 1 and the FDA's 2004 guideline on Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing?
- Examples of how the revised Annex 1 and FDA guidelines differ in their approach to aseptic process design.
- How can manufacturers ensure compliance with both the revised Annex 1 and FDA guidelines in their aseptic processes?
- What challenges might arise from the new sterilization requirements for manually loaded lyophilizers in Annex 1?
- What strategies can be employed to manage the transition from internal production to contract manufacturing in light of the new guidelines?

- What best practices can be shared from your experiences in designing aseptic processes that comply with both EMEA Annex 1 and FDA guidelines?
- Has your company developed a contamination control strategy and if so, what have been the benefits of that development?

- How do the new requirements in Annex 1 impact the manufacture of sterile medicinal products at your company?
 - Enhanced contamination control
 - Stricter environmental monitoring
 - Risk-based approach mandate application of Quality risk management
 - Reinforcement of quality system
 - Personnel training
 - Encourage use of advanced technologies i.e., barrier systems and isolators

- Main areas of harmonization between the revised Annex 1 and the FDA's 2004 guideline on Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing?
 - Contamination Control Strategy (CCS)
 - Environmental monitoring
 - Quality risk management
 - Personnel training and qualification
 - Facility design to consider room classification levels depending on nature of operations; importance of pressure differentials

- Examples of how the revised Annex 1 and FDA guidelines differ in their approach to aseptic process design.
 - Annex I integrates risk management and contamination control throughout the manufacturing process while FDA guidance focuses more on specific practices and procedures within aseptic processing
 - Annex I requires defines environmental monitoring both at rest and during production while FDA focus is on environmental monitoring during production
 - Annex I has significant emphasis on aseptic process simulation,
 FDA has less frequent simulations

- How can manufacturers ensure compliance with both the revised Annex 1 and FDA guidelines in their aseptic processes?
 - Comprehensive contamination control strategy
 - Implement robust environmental monitoring program
 - Apply quality risk management
 - Enhance personnel training
 - Utilize advanced technologies like PUPSIT
 - Any examples where details of differences mattered (e.g. headspace in simulation vs. no headspace).

- What challenges might arise from the new sterilization requirements for manually loaded lyophilizers in Annex 1?
 - Annex 1 can present several challenges for manufacturers
 - Increased Sterilization Frequency
 - Operational Downtime
 - Validation and Documentation
 - Equipment Wear and Tear
 - Contamination Risk Management

- What best practices can be shared from your experiences in designing aseptic processes that comply with both EMEA Annex 1 and FDA guidelines?
 - Comprehensive Contamination Control Strategy (CCS)
 - Robust Environmental Monitoring
 - Quality Risk Management (QRM)
 - Advanced Technologies
 - Personnel Training and Qualification
 - Process Validation and Aseptic Process Simulation (APS)
 - Continuous Audits and Inspections

- Has your company developed a contamination control strategy and if so, what have been the benefits of that development?
 - Benefits of developing a contamination control strategy
 - Enhanced Product Quality and Safety
 - Improved Process Efficiency
 - Cost Savings
 - Enhanced Reputation and Trust
 - Continuous Improvement
 - Risk Mitigation