## Patient Centric Specifications: How can they be established and maintained, or if justified amended

Sonia Taktak<sup>1</sup>, Markus Blümel<sup>2</sup>, Dean Smith<sup>3</sup>, Elena Grabski<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Pfizer, Andover, MA, USA. <sup>2</sup> Novartis Pharma, Basel, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup> Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. <sup>4</sup> PEI, Frankfurt, Germany

An appropriately supported and justified patient centric specification (PCS) or enhanced specification can provide broader access to biologics of high quality. Whereas, an overly stringent specification, or the tightening of a specification based on improvements in manufacturing capability or assay performance in the context of a robust PCS, may lead to the reduced product availability, as well as potentially increase the cost of those drugs.

## Questions

- What are manufacturer's experiences when proposing a "robust" PCS in a commercial license application, with acceptance criteria beyond manufacturing experience?
- How can manufacturer defend a proposed enhanced/patient centric specification at time of registration?
- What are successful strategies to expand a specification postauthorization?
- Post-authorization and in the absence of safety or manufacturing issues, is there scientific justification for tightening a specification based on increased manufacturing consistency or assay performance?