Analytical Comparability of mRNA Vaccines: Supporting the Global Rollout of Comirnaty[®] and Streamlining the Strategy for the mRNA Vaccine Pipeline

Neil A. Eschmann Analytical Research and Development





01 Introduction to Analytical Comparability

02 Comirnaty[®] Analytical Control Strategy

03 Comirnaty[®] Comparability Examples

04 Extending to Another mRNA Vaccine



Introduction to Analytical Comparability

Objective (ICH – Q5E): "Demonstrate that quality attributes of the pre-change and post-change product are *highly similar,* and that existing knowledge is sufficiently predictive to ensure that any differences in quality attributes have *no adverse impact upon safety or efficacy* of the drug product."



Components of Analytical Comparability Testing



A risk-based approach should be used to identify which components of the analytical comparability exercise should be included. Depending on the nature of the change, some or all components may be needed.

Include clinical,



Comparability Study Design

Risk Assessment of Process Changes and Impact on Comparability Study Design

	Early/Mid Development	Late-Stage Development	Post Pivotal Development
Minor Change	Low Risk		
Moderate Change	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk
Major Change	Medium Risk		
Strain Change	Low Risk		

Impact of Manufacturing Stage on Comparability Study Design

	Early/Mid Development	Late-Stage Development	Post Pivotal Development
Components used for comparability testing	Primarily Release Panel	Release Panel with other ana demonstrate comparability	lytical components to



Comparability Outcomes (ICH Q5E)





Comirnaty® mRNA DS Quality Control Strategy

mRNA Encoding Spike Protein (DS)



GMP Release

	Composition an	d Strength	Identit	ty
Cla	rity / Color	Appearance	Identity of Encoded	PCR
рН		Potentiometry	RNA Sequence	
Cor	topt (DNA		Process / Produc	t Impurities
Cor	icentration)	Spectroscopy	Residual DNA	qPCR
			dcDNIA	Immunablat
	Purity	1	USRINA	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
RN	Purity A Integrity	CGE	Safety	IIIIIIuiiobiot
RN/ 5'-0	Purity A Integrity Cap	CGE RP-HPLC	Safety Endotoxin	
RN/ 5'-0 Poly	Purity A Integrity Cap y (A) Tail	CGE RP-HPLC ddPCR	Endotoxin Bioburden	

Characterization		
5'-Cap	LC – UV/MS	
Oligonucleotide Mapping	LC – MS/MS	
Higher Order Structure	Circular Dichroism	
Protein Expression	Western Analysis	

Comirnaty® mRNA Drug Product Quality Control Strategy

mRNA Encapsulated Lipid Nanoparticle (DP)



GMP Release

Composition and Strength		
Clarity / Particulates	Appearance	
рН	Potentiometry	
Osmolality	Osmometry	
RNA Content / Encapsulation	Fluorescence	
Lipid Content	HPLC-CAD	
LNP Size / Polydispersity	DLS	

Identity	
Identity of Encoded RNA Sequence	PCR
Lipid ID	HPLC-CAD
Purity	
RNA Integrity	CGE
Potency	
In-Vitro Expression	Cell-based FACS
Safety	
Endotoxin	
Sterility	

Characterization		
ipid ID / Content	LC-MS	
NP Surface Properties	High-Field NMR	
NP Surface Charge	Zeta Potential	
Size Distribution / Shape	AF4	
5'-Cap	RP-HPLC	
Poly (A) Tail	ddPCR	
Poly (A) Tail Length	RP-HPLC	

Comirnaty® Drug Substance Updates



Pfizer

DS Case Study: Process Change

Process Risk Assessment

- Late Stage / Post Approval (High Risk)
- Fine Tuning Process Parameters

Batch Selection

- 179 Pre-Change Batches (historical results)
- 1 Pre-Change RM Batch (Side-by-Side)
- 6 Post-Change Batches

Selected Methods

- 5'-Cap (LC-UV/MS)
- Oligonucleotide Mapping
- Circular Dichroism
- Western Analysis

Acceptance Criteria

• Overlays highly similar

Side-By-Side Characterization Methods Comparability Assessment Components

Pre-Defined Acceptance Criteria

- Within Specification
- Within Min/Max 179 historical batches
- Mean ± 3 SD 179 historical batches

Release Test Results

Selected Methods

• Poly (A) Tail Length

Acceptance Criteria

• Overlays highly similar

Side-By-Side Release Methods

Successful DS Comparability Assessment

 \checkmark

Release Test Results → Met All Comparability Acceptance Criteria



Side-By-Side Release → Chromatographic overlays found to be highly similar

Side-By-Side Characterization → Pre- vs. Post- change batches found to be highly similar





Comirnaty® Drug Product Updates



- Process / Formulation Changes: 3
- Site Additions: 8
- 🕇 Scale Change: 1
- Variant Updates: 5

DP Case Study: Formulation

Process Risk Assessment

- Late Stage / Post Approval (High Risk) ٠
- New DP Formulation Introduction .

Batch Selection

- 94 Pre-Change lots (historical results)
- 1 Pre-Change RM lot (side-by-side)
- 3 Post-Change lots (side-by-side)

Selected Methods

- Zeta Potential ٠
- Size / Shape AF4 ٠
- Surface PEG Characterization (NMR) ٠
- 5'-Cap (RP-HPLC) ٠
- Poly (A) Tail (ddPCR) ٠
- Poly (A) Tail Length (RP-HPLC) ٠

Acceptance Criteria

Overlays highly similar ٠

Pre-Defined Acceptance Criteria

- Within Specification •
- Within Min/Max 94 historical batches ٠



Comparability Assessment Components

Side-By-Side Characterization **Methods**



Successful DP Comparability Assessment

Release Test Results → Met All Comparability Acceptance Criteria



Side-By-Side Characterization → Pre- vs. Post- change batches found to be highly similar





11.20

12.80

14.40

16.00

Retention Time (min)

17.60

Comirnaty® Seasonal Strain Change



Unchanged

- Manufacturing Process
- 5'-Cap, UTRs, Poly(A)Tail
- Lipid composition
- LNP characteristics
- Formulation
- Container closure
- Supply chain

Highly Similar

- Nucleic acid length (mRNA length)
- A, U, G & C composition
- Molecular weight



Updated

•Nucleic acid sequence



Comirnaty Seasonal Strain Change





Beyond Comirnaty® – Comparability of multi-valent mRNA vaccine



DS Control Strategy

• Same attributes

DP Control Strategy

- Same attributes
- Strain Ratio by ddPCR

Multi-Valent Vaccine Comparability: Process Improvements

Process Risk Assessment

- Late Stage / Pre-PV (Medium Risk)
- Minor Process adjustments to improve 5'-Cap Robustness

Batch Selection

•

- 4 Pre-Change batches (1 per strain) + RM
- 6 Post-Change Batches (3 batches of 1 strain and 1 batch of each other strain)



Acceptance Criteria

Overlays highly similar •

Side-By-Side Characterization **Methods**





Successful DS Comparability Assessment

Release Test Results

→ Met Acceptance Criteria
→ Improvement to impurity profile



Side-By-Side Characterization → Pre- vs. Post- change batches found to be highly similar



Integrity (organized by strain)



Key Takeaways and Overall Conclusion

- No one-size fits all to comparability
 - Comparability assessment should be tailored to potentially impacted CQA's using a risk-based approach.
 - Exception to seasonal strain change activities.
- Elements of analytical comparability work together to provide a comprehensive assessment of comparability
 - Release test results
 - Side-by-side testing
 - Characterization testing
 - Forced degradation studies
- Multiple comparability criteria can be used to assess data. Comparability criteria selection may depend on the number of available batches, the nature of the study, and the specific attribute/procedure.
 - Statistical criteria
 - Acceptance criteria
 - Qualitative evaluations



Acknowledgements

Pfizer Analytical mRNA Team:

Larry Thompson Matthew Thompson Dave Ripley **Carly Daniels** Andrew Dawdy Brian Gau **Cliff Entrican** Qing Yu Melissa Anderson Gaofei He Li Liu Nicole Schiavone

and countless colleagues in Pharm. Sci. & PGS

Pfizer Leadership:

Meg Ruesch Tom Lerch Dave Cirelli Jason Rouse Thomas Powers

BioNTech Partners