# Characterization of modifications on bispecific protein using native ion exchange chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry

Arnik Shah

CASSS MS Meeting 24<sup>th</sup> September 2021





### Outline

- > Why are modifications important?
  - Current approach in characterization
- > Proposed new approach in characterization of modifications
- ➢ Results
  - > Native
  - Limited Digestion
  - Peptide Mapping
- Conclusions





### Why are modifications important ?

- Modifications on biotherapeutic refers to enzymatic or non-enzymatic modifications that can occur during protein biosynthesis, purification process or accrue during its storage conditions
- > Modifications can affect potency, pharmacokinetics and may impact immunogenicity
- Characterizing modifications of biotherapeutic will help us in better define critical quality attributes (CQAs) related to protein therapeutic





Protein Cell 2018, 9(1):74–85



### Why study modifications for bispecific protein?



- New modality compared to monoclonal antibody, need to understand impact of biosynthesis of bispecific protein on PTMs
- To understand the impact of purification and storage on modifications to bispecific protein



- Modifications impart different surface charge which can be separated using ion exchange chromatography (IEX)
- Variants are separated as acidic main and basic species

Indicates modifications





#### **Traditional characterization approach**







#### **Proposed workflow for native characterization of bispecific protein**





Confirmation of modifications identified by native IEX-HPLC-MS and limited digestion from peptide mapping by RP-HPLC-MS



### **Method information for IEX-MS**

LC method Information	Parameter	Condition	
Mobile phase used in native IEX-MS	In-source CID	100 eV	
Solvent A: 50mM Ammonium Acetate, pH 5.8 Solvent B: 150mM Ammonium Acetate, pH 10.2 Mobile phase used in limited digestion (Native IEX-MS) Solvent A: 20mM Ammonium acetate, pH 4.5 Solvent B: 150mM Ammonium acetate, pH 9.5	Resolution	17500	
	AGC	3e6	
	Max IT	200ms	
	Spray voltage	3.8kV	
Column: YMC Biopro IEX SF, 100 X 4.6mm I.D, 5μM, SF00S05-1046WP (strong cation exchange column)	S-lens	150	
	Capillary temp	300°C	
	Source heat	275 <sup>0</sup> C	
Gradient change: 2% change B/min	HMR mode	On	
Run time: 63 min	Trapping gas	1.3	
	M/Z range	2100-6800	

MS instrument: Thermo OF+ Bionharma

Aux heat temp



220°C

#### Prediction of modification on drug substance



	Species	Difference with Main peak	Main peak Predicted modifications	
	A1	1	De emidation	
	A2	1.5	Deamidation	
	A3	995.2	O alvean	
	A4	915.7	O-giycan	
	A5	58.7	Amino Acid Substitution (Gly-Asp)	
	Main	0		
B1		2X	Dimer	

Main peak was observed at mass error of 16ppm





#### Impact of process on modifications





	Difference with	
Species	main peak	Predicted modifications
		Amino acid substitution,
A1	57, 915	O-glycan
		Hydroxylation,
A2	16	oxidation
Main Peak	0	
B1	2X	Dimer





#### **Modification observed for thermal stress material**





#### Modification observed for photo stressed material







## Limited digestion for bispecific protein



- Antigen binding domain-1 showed presence of O-glycosylation and isomerization while antigen binding domain-2 showed presence of deamidation and amino acid substitution
- Under thermal stress 2 species were observed which showed presence of succinimide formation and isomerization on antigen domain-1
  - Photo stressed material showed presence of oxidation on antigen binding domain and antigen binding domain-2 domain





### Peptide mapping procedure

Digestion Kit: Promega low pH digestion kit (catalog # VA1040) (uses rLys-C and modified trypsin based peptide digestion)

**Mobile Phase** 

A: 0.1% Formic acid in water B: 0.1% Formic acid in acetonitrile

Flow rate: 0.25 mL/min

Instrument: UPLC (waters Acquity) binary pump system

Column: Waters, peptide BEH C18 column, 130A, 1.7μM, 2.1 X 150mm Load : 20 μL

Gradient: 0.3% change B/min Total run time: 123min

Instrument	Thermo QE+		
MS Settings			
Full MS	Full MS		
Resolution	140,000		
AGC target	2.00E+06		
Max It	200		
Scan range	200-2000 m/z		
dd-MS <sup>2</sup>			
Resolution	35000		
AGC target	1.00E06		
Max IT	250		
loop count	5		
Isolation Window	2.5 m/z		
(N) CE	31		
Dynamic exclusion	7 sec		
Tune settings			
Spray voltage	3.8 kV		
Capillary temperature	300°C		
Probe heater temperature	250°C		
S-lens	60		
Polarity	Positive		





#### Peptide map result for drug substance

sample type	Modifications	Amino Acid residue	Domain
	Deamidaion	N360 and N363	Antigen binding domain-2
DS	Amino Acid Substitution	G467	Antigen binding domain-2
	Isomerization	D54	Antigen binding domain-1
			Antigen binding domain-1 and 2
	Deamidation	N360, N363, N84, N313	
Thermal Stress	Succinimide	D172	antigen binding domain
	Isomerization	D54	Antigen binding domain
	Oxidation (Double oxidation of W)	W47, W52, <mark>W490, W495</mark>	Antigen binding domain 1 and 2
Light stress	Deamidation	N360 and N363	Antigen binding domain 2
	Isomerization	D54	Antigen binding domain-1

Note : Indicates major degradation pathway observed

Observation for modification correlates with findings from limited digestion and native IEX-MS indicating observed modification are present at native level





#### MS2 conformation for amino acid substitution



#### X X X X X G X X ← Native peptide







## Conclusions

**Native IEX-MS** 

- > Successfully developed Ion exchange gradient for separation of bispecific protein variants
- Optimized MS conditions for native mass-spectrometry observations
- Successfully predicted modification at native level
  - Predicted modifications for drug substance and different forced degradation conditions (Thermal stress and photo stress conditions)
  - > Predicted modification that were cleared away by purification of bispecific protein

**Limited digestion** 

Successfully predicted modifications related to specific domain

Peptide map

Identified and correlated modification observed by peptide map to modification predicted and inited digestion level

#### Workflow

Application of this workflow for different protein modality will aid in time saving and provide unbiased information regarding modifications







# **THANK YOU**



Dr. John Harrahy Dr. Weidong Cui



Prof. Alexander Ivanov and lab members





# BACKUP



## **Predicted glycan**



 Neu?c(a2-6)Gal(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1-2)Man(a1 Gal(b1-4)G

 3)[Neu?c(a2-6)Gal(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1 3)[Gal(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1 3)[Gal(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1 

 2)Man(a1-6)]Man(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1 6)]Man(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1 6)]GlcNAc

Gal(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1-2)Man(a1-3)[Gal(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1-2)Man(a1-6)]Man(b1-4)GlcNAc(b1-4)[Fuc(a1-6)]GlcNAc+"+ NeuAc

Observed difference: +2351 Average mass : 2352.1

#### Observed difference: +2060 Average mass : 2059.7

#### O-linked glycan observed for DS and inprocess purification sample



HSO3(-3)Gal(b1-4)[Fuc(a1-2)][Gal(b1-3)]Gal(b1-3)GalNA Fuc(a1-2)[GalNAc(a1-3)]Gal(b1-3)[HSO3(-6)GlcNAc(b1-6)]GalNAc





Average mass : 995.8



Source: unimod

