

Product Comparability in Cell Therapy: Approach to Managing Multifactorial Changes

Patty Sachamitr, PhD (She/Her)

Associate Director, Analytical & Quality Control

Bluerock Therapeutics

Overview

Purpose of this Talk

 Share key strategies for comparability in cell therapy products undergoing multifactorial changes

What I hope you will takeaway

- Systematic approach to comparability incorporating risk-based evaluation
- How to de-risk comparability
- Role of advanced analytics like transcriptomics
- Challenges & Tips





To discover and develop cell therapies that can change the way we treat disease and improve the lives of patients.



Bemdaneprocel Development: mDA Neuron Progenitor Cells for Parkinson's Disease (PD)

Bemdaneprocel consists of allogeneic, engraftable midbrain dopaminergic (mDA) neuron progenitors derived from pluripotent stem cells (PSCs)¹⁻³



An optimized differentiation protocol yields cells that express markers consistent with those of mDA neuron progenitor cells¹

PD is characterized by loss of mDA neurons. BlueRock's cell therapy aims to replenish lost cells



1. Kim TW, et al. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(2):343-355.e5. 2. Piao J, et al. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(2):217-229.e7. 3. Kriks S, et al. Nature 2011;480:547-551.

Bemdaneprocel Phase I Results

Well tolerated with no Serious Adverse Events related to Bemdaneprocel

Demonstrated cell engraftment and survival after stopping immune suppression at 12 months

Exploratory clinical efficacy endpoints are encouraging

Phase 3 trial planned based on data and RMAT designation from FDA



*The phase 1 study enrolled 12 subjects diagnosed with Parkinson's disease who received surgical transplantation of 1 of 2 different dose levels of bemdaneprocel to the post-commissural putamen bilaterally, and administration of a 1-year immunosuppression regimen.

Tabar, V., Sarva, H., Lozano, A.M. et al. Phase I trial of hES cell-derived dopaminergic neurons for Parkinson's disease. Nature (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08845-y CONFIDENTIAL

5

Simultaneous Changes Made to Bemdaneprocel to Enable Future Commercialization



Changes were made to improve process, with improved GMP controls and robust analytics As a result, we need to demonstrate comparability between PVI and PV2 cells prior to use in subsequently planned clinical trials



Utilizing a Systematic and Risk-Based Approach to Guide Comparability





Mapping Changes & Evaluating Risk of Change Enables Strategic Comparability Planning

Map Changes and Conduct Risk Assessment

- Not all changes carry the same level of risk to product quality
- Systematically identify and assess risks associated with changes.
 - analyze how changes could affect critical quality attributes (CQAs) and overall product performance
- Understanding the risk associated with a change helps determine the scope, depth and type of comparability studies needed
 - Minor changes may require limited assessment, while major changes necessitate comprehensive evaluation or supportive non-clinical studies

 Evaluate attribute criticality early to identify and prioritize critical quality attributes

<u>Tips</u>

- Summarize the justification of change, rationale for ranking and outcome in your comparability protocol or regulatory submission.
- Engaging with the FDA early in the process is crucial to discuss proposed changes and associated risk assessments and comparability plan





CONFIDENTIAL

MCB – Master Cell bank; WCB – Working Cell bank; DS – Drug Substance; DP – Drug Product; CSI – Cell Suspension for Injection

Risk-Based Tiering Provides Framework and Context for Comparability Studies

- Tier attributes based on criticality to product quality, risk of change and the reliability of the analytical method
- Can be used to align testing strategy and statistical rigor with attribute importance
- Focuses resources on the attributes most critical to clinical safety and efficacy

Ç₁



 Include tiering logic and test plans in comparability protocol in Regulatory interactions

Tier	Criticality for comparability	Example of Bemdaneprocel attributes in this tier
Tier I	Essential to demonstrate comparability	All safety attributes [*] , viability, identity markers for target cell product, purity, residual PSCs
Tier 2	Informative for comparability but may not be critical as Tier I	Maturity marker, apoptosis, process derived impurities, Potency
Tier 3	Added to characterize product to gather data for future. Quality attributes that are not routinely evaluated Also included descriptive attributes	Global Phenotypic/ transcriptomic analysis

* Attributes like sterility, endotoxin, mycoplasma may not be amenable to statistical analysis

Tier Quality

Attributes by Risk Robust Overarching Comparability Assessment Encompasses Testing, Statistical Evaluation & Material selection



Methods Readiness for Analytical Comparability

- Method Readiness Drives Credibility
 - Methods must be **sensitive** and **specific** enough to detect differences that could affect product quality
 - For Release Attributes \rightarrow phase appropriate **qualification or validation**
 - Extended characterization assays \rightarrow precise enough to detect meaningful differences

Analytical Changes

- Maintain analytical method consistency through comparability studies if possible
- If method change is unavoidable:
 - Establish analytical equivalency/ transfer/ bridging studies
 - Or test retained pre-change samples with the updated assay
- Pick a Testing strategy
 - Historical Comparison: when assay variability is low & methods are consistent or bridged
 - Side-by-Side Testing: Needed when variability is high or methods/sites differ helps isolate process impact but may be impractical for cell therapies.





Design Comparability Testing

Strategy

ICH Q5E recommends using "sensitive and appropriately validated analytical procedures to detect differences."

 Document clear rationale for change & evidence supporting method transition in regulatory submission.

Tips

 Ensure you retain sufficient precious pre-change material- particularly from clinical lots

Key Considerations for Statistical Analysis in Comparability

- Relying solely on established **release tests and in-process controls** is generally **insufficient** to assess the impact of manufacturing changes
- Select statistical methods based on:
 - Process and analytical variability, Sample size (n)
 - Study design (side-by-side vs. historical comparison)
- Tier I CQAs (critical to safety/potency):
 - Use Equivalence Testing or Simultaneous Prediction Intervals for stricter evaluation
- Simultaneous Prediction Intervals:
 - Help estimate range of future values
 - Useful with unbalanced pre/post-change batch numbers
 - Less effective with high method variability
- Not all CQAs are amenable to statistical testing:
 - Use minimum-maximum range, trend analysis, or descriptive comparisons
 - Visual analysis (e.g., boxplots, control charts) can support conclusions

Strategy

Design Comparability

Testing



<u>Tips</u>

- Engage biostatisticians early while designing comparability to ensure suitability of statistics approach for comparability
- De-risk comparability by performing similar statistical evaluation during process development
- Engage the FDA early & present your planned statistical analysis within the comparability protocol ahead of study execution



CONFIDENTIAL 14

Design

Comparability Testing Strategy

Select the Right Material for Comparability

- Comparability studies should generally use full-scale manufactured lots
- If using full-scale lots is not feasible, perform risk assessment and characterization studies to justify that scale-down still provides meaningful comparability evaluation
- Justify each lot picked; ensure they reflect routine production
- Regulators may require use of **clinical** pre-change material for comparability, especially for complex changes.
 - Representative nonclinical material can be combined with statistical justification, particularly when sourced from different sites.
- Since material is limited, **coordinate** testing when possible

Tips
 Routinely retain material—including clinical material—for future comparability assessments.



Regulatory Expectations

FDA guidance on CGT comparability (2023 draft) states: "Lots must be "representative of your typical manufacturing process"...."the sponsor should justify the choice of every comparability lot and (when relevant) the cellular source material"



Advanced Characterization Tools Supports Comparability Assessments

Transcriptomic Profiling

- Support understanding of **product differences** in early stages •
- Aid in **impurity characterization** and can support in identifying **novel impurity** ٠ markers
- Best applied as a **discovery**, **hypothesis-generating** tool in early stages
- Avoid reducing to a single metric; use descriptive, multidimensional analysis

Genomic Integrity Assessment

- Detect chromosomal aberrations, single nucleotide variants (SNVs), and structural variants.
- Typically, not suited for statistical comparability analysis—interpret using a safety, biological and mechanistic lens.
- Pre-define your analysis and investigation framework to avoid ambiguity.
- Be prepared for follow-up investigations.





geneX 22 11 14 aeneY 45 32 38 21 geneZ 36 12 18 **Normalized Heterogenous Cell**

> **Targeted Expression** Panel

CONFIDENTIAL



Population

Bemdaneprocel Overarching Analytical Comparability Plan

4 Design Comparability Testing Strategy



Method Readiness & Testing Strategy

- All Tier I methods & Tier 2 were qualified; Extended characterization assays were deemed to be scientifically sound
- Tested retained PVI material and PV2 material with same analytical methods



egy Evaluate Statistical Approaches

Set acceptance using Simultaneous Prediction Interval based on PVI material data in advance of testing PV2 material



Select Material for Testing

- PVI material used: Clinical Material & Full Scale PVI material made in Process Development Lab
- PV2 material: representative full scale
- > N=6 pre change lots
- N=3 post change lots

Advanced Tools & Characterization

- Included extended characterization using qPCR and scRNaseq
- Performed Genomic Integrity evaluation of WCB, hESCi and DP



Key Comparability Challenges in Cell Therapy – and How to Tackle Them

Challenge	Mitigation / Considerations
Limited number of vials	 Coordinated testing, ensuring it doesn't impact performance Plan to retain limited/valuable early-phase material
Limited batch availability	Combine with representative non-clinical material (justify statistically)
Limited product understanding	 Use broad characterization Treat more attributes as potentially critical until data says otherwise Support with nonclinical data
🔅 Potency assay not qualified	 Use orthogonal or surrogate assays to supplement or substitute Support with extended characterization like transcriptomic profiling
Testing site/method changes	 Perform assay bridging across sites Use consistent methods where possible to test pre- & post- change lots
Regulatory pressure + timelines	 Engage regulators early Include comparability in development strategy

It takes a village

- Bemdaneprocel CMC Team
- Bemdaneprocel Process Development & MFG Teams
- Analytical and Quality Control
 - Testing Team
 - Analytical Development team
 - Analytical Strategy Team
- Bioinformatics team





BlueRock Therapeutics

Replace. Restore. Reimagine.

Striving to transform the treatment of disease by harnessing the power of cell therapy

