BIOASSAY CHALLENGES EXPERIENCED IN THE PORTUGUESE OMCL

MARIA FATIMA ALMEIDA, PhD INFARMED, I.P. (LISBON) AT EUROPE 2020 3 -5 NOVEMBER 2020







DISCLAIMER

Views and opinions expressed in the following presentation

are possibly those of the individual presenter and

do not necessarily reflect the official position of INFARMED, I.P.

OUTLINE

INFARMED, I.P.Organisation	1
OMCL • Activities	2
CAP ProgrammeParticipation	3
Cell-based Assays Method Transfer • Critical aspects	4
Establishment of WHO-ISQuality control	5
Ph. Eur. MAB Working PartyAims	6



INFARMED – NATIONAL AUTHORITY OF MEDICINES AND HEALTH PRODUCTS, I.P.

Mission: quality, efficacy and safety of medicines on the Portuguese market

- Permanent staff: 350
- External experts: 250
- Collaboration with academia
- Cooperation with other National Authorities (authority for economic activities and food security, ASAE), customs, police, Portuguese speaking African countries (PALOP: Angola, Cape Verde, Brazil, Mozambique, São Tomé e Príncipe, Guinea Bissau)

INFARMED, I.P. INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION



INFARMED – NATIONAL AUTHORITY OF MEDICINES AND HEALTH PRODUCTS, I.P.





Pharmaceutical Chemistry and Technology Laboratory, Staff: 12 Head: (recruitment ongoing)

PORTUGUESE OMCL Dir: MARIA JOÃO PORTELA

Staff: total 31



Biology and Microbiology Laboratory, Staff: 11 Head: Monica Miranda

PORTUGUESE OMCL *DIREÇÃO DE COMPROVAÇÃO DA QUALIDADE*

 Accreditation according to ISO/IEC 17025 since 2007 by the National Accreditation Body (IPAC)
Information Body (IPAC)

81 analytical methods accredited



L0460 Ensaios



• WHO Prequalification Programme Quality Control Laboratories since 2010



PORTUGUESE OMCL MAIN ACTIVITIES

Post-marketing surveillance

- 500 medicines: national authorization / mutual recognition procedure / decentralized / centralized procedure
- 20 API
- 180 health products: cosmetics / medical devices
- Quality alerts
- 140 falsified medicines / suspicious food supplements

Official Control Authority Batch Release (OCABR) for Blood Products

- 470 batches for EU
- 360 batches for Non-EU countries

BIOLOGY AND MICROBIOLOGY LABORATORY ACTIVITIES

Biology

- European/ non-EU Official Batch Release (OCABR) for blood products
- Testing of medicines authorized by the centralized procedure (CAP programme, EMA/EDQM)
- Collaborative studies (establishment of reference preparations and international standards, BSP, WHO)



Microbiology

- Sterility
- Non-sterile products
- LAL
- Antibiotic assays



CAP PROGRAMME EU MARKETING AUTHORIZATION





- Centrally Authorized Products, community marketing authorization granted by the Commission of the EU.
- Co-ordinated European approach to quality control: yearly sampling and testing organized by the EMA with EDQM and the OMCL Network.

Roles

- EMA is the sponsor and has overall responsibility for the programme, whereas
- EDQM coordinates the sampling and testing operations.
- National Inspection Services draw products from the market.
- OMCL Network provide expertise and resources testing the samples.
- MAH provide control sample, reference material, qualified reagents, approved SOP.

CAP PROGRAMME PURPOSE





- Supervise the quality of centrally authorized medicinal products that are placed on the EU/EEA market, in all parts of the distribution chain, by testing their compliance with their authorized specifications.
- Check that the authorized control methods are suitable for their intended use.

CAP PROGRAMME NUMBERS

- 1998- 2017, more than 700 products were tested.
- Usually, 15 to 20 biological products are tested every year (including insulins) which is in line with the current capacity of the Network; according to the adopted testing scheme, each biological product is tested in 2 OMCLs
- The number of authorized biosimilar products has also been increasing so a programme on CAP Biosimilars was created. Filgrastimcontaining products were selected for a pilot study.



CAP Sampling & Testing Programme

The **2019 CAP Regular programme** included **45 medicinal products for human use** (16 biologicals, including 2 insulin-based products, and 21 chemical products) and **8 medicinal products for veterinary use** (4 immunobiological products and 4 chemical products). In addition to the finished dosage form, testing of the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) was performed for 4 products.

In the frame of the **2019 CAP Generics surveillance programme**, products containing Capecitabine, Duloxetine and Sildenafil were tested.

Due to the increasing number of biosimilars, a **Biosimilar programme** was created. Three projects will be conducted over a period of five years (2019-2024) on CAP products containing Filgrastim, Etanercept and Rituximab.

CAP PROGRAMME TESTING PARTICIPATION 1999-2019







PT: 4th position out of 28 Source: EMA

CELL BASED ASSAYS METHOD TRANSFER CAP PROGRAM

Features...

- No routine (2 4 samples)
- MAH validated analytical procedure
- Method verification based on system suitability criteria, integral part of potency methods, ensure good data quality and reliable potency results



CELL BASED ASSAYS METHOD TRANSFER BIOASSAY TYPICAL SCHEME



CELL BASED ASSAYS METHOD TRANSFER METHOD TRANSFER

Critical aspects

- Shipment of frozen cells
- SOPs from MAH
- Qualified reagents
- Equipment for readout (specifications)
- Calculation software for the statistical analysis of data (Ph. Eur. 5.3)



CELL BASED ASSAYS METHOD TRANSFER SYSTEM SUITABILITY CRITERIA, AN EXAMPLE

Readout, Spectrophotometry

- Cell growth control to cell death control (max to min) amplitude ≥0,35 OD units.
- 2. The net average readings for each sample should be within 0,100 OD units from the net average maximum OD reading.
- The mean OD difference between 2 dose levels is at least ≥0,035 OD units.
- The mean OD difference between 2 unspecific molecule dose levels is < 0,035 OD units.

Equipment specifications dependent

- System suitability tests 1 4 based on OD are dependent on the specifications of the spectrophotometer
- Criterion 1: approx. 0,9 OD (3x)
- Criterion 2: <u>not fulfilled</u>, probably as a consequence of high sensitivity
- Criterion 3: fulfilled
- Criterion 4: <u>not fulfilled</u>, probably as a consequence of high sensitivity
- Deviations reported to EDQM/EMA

CELL BASED ASSAYS METHOD TRANSFER SYSTEM SUITABILITY CRITERIA, AN EXAMPLE

ANOVA

- 5. The linear regression is significant $(p \le 0,01)$
- 6. The term for non-parallelism is not significant ($p \ge 0,05$)
- 7. The term for non-linearity is not significant ($p \ge 0,05$)
- 8. The relative 95% confidence interval should be < 30%

Statistical data analysis

- Software used by MAH versus CombiStats (equivalent)
- Criteria 5 8 all fulfilled with a probability of 95%

Model: Parallel lines Design: Completely randomised Transformation: y' = y Variance: Observed residuals Common slope(factor) = 0.242795 (0.223158 to 0.262432) Correlation | r |: 0.981628

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean square	F-ratio	Probab	oility
Preparations	3	0.00730639	0.00243546	11.076	0.001	(***)
Regression	1	0.106782	0.106782	485.608	0.000	(***)
Non-parallelism	3	0.000912117	0.000304039	1.383	0.295	8
Non-linearity	4	0.000759556	0.000189889	0.864	0.513	8
Standard	1	2.00083E-06	2.00083E-06	0.009	0.926	8
Sample 1	1	0.000521401	0.000521401	2.371	0.150	8
Sample 2	1	0.000235853	0.000235853	1.073	0.321	8
Sample 3	1	3.00833E-07	3.00833E-07	0.001	0.971	0
Treatments	11	0.115760	0.0105236	47.858	0.000	(***)
Residual error	12	0.00263872	0.000219893	94		8
Total	23	0.118399	0.00514777	6.4		8

	Samp	ole 1		
ld.	CTS			
(mg/ml)	Lower limit	Estimate	Upper limit	
Potency	193.568	209.026	226.074	
Rel. to Ass.	100.3%	108.3%	117.1%	
Rel. to Est.	92.6%	100.0%	108.2%	

Sample 2						
ld.	sampleA					
(mg/ml)	Lower limit	Estimate	Upper limit			
Potency	220.471	238.203	258.221			
Rel. to Ass.	109.7%	118.5%	128.5%			
Rel. to Est.	92.6%	100.0%	108.4%			

CELL BASED ASSAYS METHOD TRANSFER DATA ANALYSIS



prescribed in the European Pharmacopoeia (Ph. Eur.) and for analysis of their results. It is intended for use by those whose primary training and responsibilities are not in statistics, but who have responsibility for analysis or interpretation of the results of these assays, often without the help and advice of a statistician. The methods of calculation described in this annex are not mandatory for the bioassays which themselves constitute a mandatory part of the Ph. Eur. Alternative methods can be used and may be accepted by the competent authorities, provided that they are supported by relevant data and justified during the assay validation process. A wide range of computer software is available and may be useful depending on the facilities available to, and the expertise of, the analyst. Professional advice should be obtained in situations where: a

CombiStats

- Calculation software developed by EDQM for statistical analysis of data from biological dilution assays
- Parallel line analysis,
- Slope ratio analysis,
- Probit analysis,
- ED50 determination
- 4-/ 5-parameter logistic analysis (sigmoid curves),
- Limit testing of single dose
- Combination of results (geometric)

COLLABORATIVE STUDY FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF 1ST WHO-IS PARTICIPATION

Benefits:

- Ensuring the validity of results
- Assessment of performance (zscore)
- Qualification of technicians



2019: Adalimumab



PH. EUR. MAB WORKING PARTY PUBLIC STANDARDS, MONOGRAPHS

Setting of public standards for therapeutic MAB, started 2014 with a pilot phase:

- Development of general methodologies applied to a wide range of MAB
- Elaboration of product-specific monographs for MAB
- Bottom-up approach: from product-specific monographs to general texts for MAB (and fusion proteins)
- Infliximab as case study for the investigation of the feasibility (PoC)
- Continuation of the pilot phase with new MAB
- Elaboration of a Ph. Eur. General chapter on'cell-based assays for potency determination of TNF-alpha antagonists'



PH. EUR. MAB WORKING PARTY NOV 2017: MILESTONE IN SETTING QUALITY STANDARDS

n 1.1 n n 1





OBRIGADO THANK YOU







